



# Get SMAART

April 30, 2007  
Volume 2 Issue 1

Nineteenth Judicial Circuit, Lake County, Illinois  
www.19thcircuitcourt.state.il.us

## Executive Level Measures

As reported last September, we have committed to providing the County Administrator with portions of our performance measurement efforts for use in the budget process and as a means of fulfilling our business planning requirement. The data that we supply to them is referred to as our "Executive Level" measures and is presented on a quarterly basis.

On behalf of everyone's efforts, we present to you the results of the First Quarter, 2007.

### INSIDE THIS ISSUE

- 1 Executive Level Measures
- 1 Adult Probation
- 1 Final Reminder
- 2 Compliance Unit
- 2 Did You Know
- 3 Jury Commission
- 3 Clearance Rates

## Adult Probation

|  | January | February | March | 1st Quarter |
|--|---------|----------|-------|-------------|
| Success of Probation - Adult             |         |          |       |             |
| - Successful Completions                 | 45%     | 57%      | 60%   | 54%         |
| - Pretrial Success Rate                  | 72%     | 79%      | 72%   | 74%         |
| - Completion of Public Service Hours     | 64%     | 71%      | 61%   | 65%         |
| - Completion of Treatment                | 53%     | 59%      | 66%   | 59%         |
| - Urinalysis Screenings (positive tests) | 24%     | 19%      | 16%   | 20%         |

### Target Ranges

Due to varying differences in terminology, definitions and programs across states and regions, benchmarks are currently being developed and shall be made available at a later posting.

- 
- S – Specific
  - M – Measurable
  - A – Aggressive
  - A – Achievable
  - R – Relevant
  - T – Time Sensitive
- 

## Just a FINAL Reminder

The budget process is just around the corner and your new program requests should have already been submitted. But if you find that you have a last minute add-on be sure to get the information in to Marci Jumisko by May 14, 2007.

## Judicial Operations – Compliance Unit

*“We aim above the mark  
to hit the mark.”*

*Ralph Waldo Emerson*

|   | January      | February      | March         | 1st Quarter   |
|---|--------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Impact of Compliance Unit                             |              |               |               |               |
| - Number of Defendants Sentenced and Reporting        | 679          | 589           | 597           | 1,865         |
| - Number of Letters to Defendants (failure to comply) | 11           | 4             | 12            | 27            |
| - Number of Non-Compliance Notices to Prosecutors     | 5            | 1             | 6             | 12            |
| - Number of Contacts with Treatment Programs          | 37           | 101           | 78            | 216           |
| - Number of Contacts with Public Service Unit         | 11           | 40            | 23            | 74            |
| - Dollars Collected*                                  | \$ 87,078.00 | \$ 230,275.00 | \$ 166,287.00 | \$ 483,640.00 |
| - Conditional Discharge Fee Collected**               | \$ 24,177.00 | \$ 29,596.00  | \$ 24,618.00  | \$ 78,391.00  |
| - Supervision Fee Collected**                         | \$ 13,782.00 | \$ 13,379.00  | \$ 12,058.00  | \$ 39,219.00  |

### Target Ranges

Number of Defendants: FY07 - 516 each month

Number of Letters: FY07 - 5 each month

Number of Non-Compliance Notices: FY07 - 3 each month

Number of Contacts with Treatment Programs: FY07 - 92 each month

Number of Contacts with Public Service Unit: FY07 - 47 each month

Dollars Collected: FY07 \$175,000 each month

Conditional Discharge Fee: FY07 - \$32,000 each month

Supervision Fee: FY07 - \$13,000 each month

*Target ranges were developed by referencing national or state level targets or averages wherever applicable. When not applicable, we used departmental trend data to determine our range.*

*Green cells represent values 0%-10% outside target range*

*Yellow cells represent values 10%-25% outside target range*

*Red cells represent values 25% or more outside target range*

*\* Dollars Collected represents those payments received as a direct result of contact from the Compliance Unit with Second Deadline Notices.*

*\*\* Data gathered via BOSS records*

## Did You Know...

Many other operations, units and divisions have been collecting data and reporting their successes either internally or to outside agencies. Examples include: Kids Korner; Law Library; COG; and Women's Trauma Group. If your operation/unit/division has a success story to share please forward it on. We'd love to print it so that everyone can be "in the know".

Send your stories to [pevans@co.lake.il.us](mailto:pevans@co.lake.il.us).

## Judicial Operations – Jury Commission

*“We didn’t actually overspend our budget. The allocation simply fell short of our expenditure.”*  
Keith Davis

|                            | January   | February  | March     | 1st Quarter |
|----------------------------|---|-----------|-----------|-------------|
| Success of Jury Commission |   |           |           |             |
| - Petit Juror Yield        | 38%   | 36%       | 36%       | 37%         |
| - Petit Juror Utilization  | 38%   | 77%       | 111%      | 75%         |
| - Failure to Appear Rate   | 8%  | 8%        | 9%        | 8%          |
| - Fee Cost per Trial       | \$ 815.11   | \$ 352.03 | \$ 421.71 | \$ 476.84   |
| - Total Cost per Trial     | \$ 1,403.00                                       | \$ 652.41 | \$ 743.18 | \$ 845.14   |
| - Satisfaction of Jurors   | Not available - New survey instrument implemented |           |           |             |

### Target Ranges

Petit Juror Yield: 40% or greater

Failure to Appear Rate: less than 10%

Fee Cost per Trial: less than \$400.00 per trial

Total Cost per Trial: less than \$825.00 per trial

*Target ranges were developed by referencing national or state level targets or averages wherever applicable. When not applicable, we used departmental trend data to determine our range.*

*Green cells represent values 0%-10% outside target range*

*Yellow cells represent values 10%-25% outside target range*

*Red cells represent values 25% or more outside target range*

## Judicial Operations – Clearance Rates

|                                  | January | February | March | 1st Quarter |
|----------------------------------|---------|----------|-------|-------------|
| Success of Case Management       |         |          |       |             |
| - Civil                          | 89%     | 86%      | 92%   | 89%         |
| - Criminal                       | 121%    | 120%     | 103%  | 115%        |
| - Family                         | 95%     | 97%      | 90%   | 94%         |
| - DUI/Traffic                    | 95%     | 111%     | 90%   | 98%         |
| - Clearance Rate - All Casetypes | 95%     | 108%     | 90%   | 97%         |

Civil: Includes Arbitration, Chancery, Eminent Domain, Law, Law Magistrate, Municipal Corporation, Miscellaneous Remedy, Probate, Small Claims and Tax casetypes.

Criminal: Includes Criminal Felony and Criminal Misdemeanor casetypes.

Family: Includes Adoption, Dissolution, Family, Juvenile, Juvenile Abuse, Juvenile Delinquent, Mental Health and Order of Protection casetypes.

DUI/Traffic: Includes Driving Under Influence, Conservation Violation, Ordinance Violation, and Traffic casetypes.

### Target Ranges

All casetypes: 100%

*Target ranges were developed by referencing national or state level targets or averages wherever applicable. When not applicable, we used departmental trend data to determine our range.*

*Green cells represent values 0%-10% outside target range*

*Yellow cells represent values 10%-25% outside target range*

*Red cells represent values 25% or more outside target range*

*“Success will not lower its standard to us. We must raise our standard to success.”*

Rev. Randall R. McBride,  
Jr.